



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/811,854	03/30/2004	Sang-hyun Han	1572.1275	2349
21171 STAAS & HAI	7590 10/09/2007 LSEY LLP		EXAM	INER
SUITE 700			LE, HUYEN D	
WASHINGTO	PRK AVENUE, N.W. N, DC 20005		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
	,		2615	
	•			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/09/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

•		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/811,854	HAN ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		HUYEN D. LE	2615			
Period fe	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
VVHIO - Exte after - If NO - Failt Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATES of 37 CFR 1.13 FINAL STATES OF THE MAILING DATES OF THE MAILING THE MAILI	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 Ju	<u>ıly 2007</u> .				
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4) 🏻	Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application.					
, ,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)⊠	Claim(s) <u>11-23,26 and 27</u> is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1,24 and 25 is/are rejected.					
7)🖂	Claim(s) <u>2-10</u> is/are objected to.					
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers	•				
_	The specification is objected to by the Examine	ır	•			
·	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according to the drawing and according to the drawing accord		Fyaminer			
10/	Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	· ·			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex					
Priority :	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	,)-(d) or (f).			
	1. Certified copies of the priority document					
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	747	•			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	ed in this National Stage			
*	application from the International Bureau	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	·			
•	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	eu.			
•						
Attachmei	nt(s)					
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
3) Info	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/811,854

Art Unit: 2615

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
 - (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

 (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claim 24 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Grodinsky (U.S. patent 3,778,551).

Grodinsky teaches a process of assembling a speaker apparatus that has a speaker (12) and a circuit (13). The process comprises opening (18, 19 or 20) an air inlet into the speaker apparatus (col. 2, lines 3-8, and lines 40-47), opening (16, 25, 28) an air outlet from the speaker apparatus and arranging an air path (col. 2, lines 40-47) through the speaker apparatus (figures 1, 2). The air path (20) has a varying size upstream from the circuit (21, 22, 29) along a flow direction of air as claimed (see col. 2, lines 48-65 and col. 3, lines 5-14).

3. Claim 24 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Saiki (U.S. patent 5,189,706).

Regarding claim 1, Saeki teaches a speaker apparatus including a speaker (3). The speaker apparatus comprises a speaker box (1) having an opening (an opening for receiving the speaker 3), a casing (2) to communicate with an outside to dissipate heat from driver of the

Art Unit: 2615

magnetic or the magnetic circuit of the speaker (3), and a duct (6) to emit back sound of the speaker.

4. Claims 24-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kuratani et al. (U.S. patent 6,956,956).

Regarding claim 24, Kuratani teaches a process of assembling a speaker apparatus (12, 112) that has a speaker (12, 112) and a circuit (30, 102, 131, 132, 133, 136). The process comprises opening an air inlet into the speaker apparatus, opening an air outlet from the speaker apparatus at a top of the speaker apparatus, and arranging an air path through the speaker apparatus as claimed (figures 3 and 6). As broadly claimed, Kuratani teaches and shows the air path that has a varying size upstream from the circuit along a flow direction of air in the interior air space S of the speaker box (111) and the ports (15, 113, 115).

Regarding claim 25, Kuratani shows a speaker box (11, 111) and a duct (15, 115) having a longitudinal axis as claimed (figures 3 and 6).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any

Application/Control Number: 10/811,854 Page 4

Art Unit: 2615

evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

6. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Saeki (U.S. patent 5,189,706).

Regarding claim 1, Saeki teaches a speaker apparatus including a speaker (3). The speaker apparatus comprises a speaker box (1) having an opening (an opening for receiving the speaker 3), a casing (2) to communicate with an outside to dissipate heat from the driver or the magnetic circuit of the speaker (3), and a duct (6) to emit back sound of the speaker.

Saeki does not specifically disclose a driver for driving the speaker (3). However, it is known in the art to provide a driver comprising a coil and a magnetic circuit for driving a diaphragm in a loudspeaker.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to provide any type of loudspeaker (3) for the Saeki system such as an electrodynamic loudspeaker that comprises a magnetic circuit for driving the diaphragm in the speaker for an alternate choice.

Saeki shows the casing having a passage (5, figure 1) to pass air to the circuit (coil and magnetic circuit). This passage (5) has a varying size upstream from the circuit along a flow direction of air as claimed.

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 11-23 and 26-27 have been allowed.

8. Claims 2-10 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments filed 7/18/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Responding to the arguments about the Grodinsky reference, the Applicant should note that Grodinsky does teach a varying size for the passage as claimed (see the air passages or the openings 20, 28).

Responding to the arguments about the Saeki reference, the Applicant should note the passage (5, figure 1) that has a varying size as claimed.

Responding to the arguments about the Kurutani reference, the Applicant should note the air path that has a varying size upstream from the circuit along a flow direction of air in the interior air space S of the speaker box (111) and the ports (15, 113, 115, figures 3, 6).

Conclusion

10. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after Art Unit: 2615

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to HUYEN D. LE whose telephone number is (571) 272-7502. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30AM-6:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, SINH TRAN can be reached on (571) 272-7564. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

HL

September 28, 2007

"HUYEN LE RIMARY EXAMINER